arXiv:1603.00790v3 [math.FA] 11 Jan 2017

ANDÔ DILATIONS AND INEQUALITIES ON NONCOMMUTATIVE VARIETIES

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. Andô proved a dilation result that implies his celebrated inequality which says that if T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractions on a Hilbert space, then for any polynomial p in two variables,

$$\|p(T_1,T_2)\| \leq \|p\|_{\mathbb{D}^2},$$

where $\mathbb{D}^2$ is the bidisk in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The main goal of the present paper is to find analogues of Andô's results for the elements of the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{n_1,n_2}$ which consists of all pairs $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})$ of row contractions $\mathbf{X}:=(X_1,\ldots,X_{n_1})$ and $\mathbf{Y}:=(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{n_2})$ which commute, i.e. each entry of $\mathbf{X}$ commutes with each entry of $\mathbf{Y}$. The results are obtained in a more general setting, namely, when $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ belong to noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ determined by row contractions subject to constraints such as

$$q(X_1,\ldots,X_{n_1})=0 \quad \text{and} \quad r(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{n_2})=0, \qquad q\in\mathcal{P}, r\in\mathcal{R},$$

respectively, where $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are sets of noncommutative polynomials. We obtain dilation results which simultaneously generalize Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem for contractions, Andô's dilation theorem for commuting contractions, Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting theorem, and Schur's representation for the unit ball of H^∞, in the framework of noncommutative varieties and Poisson kernels on Fock spaces. This leads to one of the main results of the paper, an Andô type inequality on noncommutative varieties, which, in the particular case when $n_1=n_2=1$ and T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractive matrices with spectrum in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\ |z|<1\}$, takes the form

$$\|p(T_1,T_2)\| \leq \min\left\{\|p(B_1\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}},\varphi_1(B_1))\|, \|p(\varphi_2(B_2),B_2\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})\|\right\},$$

where $(B_1\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}},\varphi_1(B_1))$ and $(\varphi_2(B_2),B_2\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})$ are analytic dilations of (T_1,T_2) while B_1 and B_2 are the universal models associated with T_1 and T_2, respectively. In this setting, the inequality is sharper than Andô's inequality and Agler-McCarthy's inequality. We obtain more general inequalities for arbitrary commuting contractive matrices and improve Andô's inequality for commuting contractions when at least one of them is of class $\mathcal{C}_0$.

We prove that there is a universal model $(S\otimes I_{\ell^2},\varphi(S))$, where S is the unilateral shift and $\varphi(S)$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})\otimes\ell^2$, such that

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1,T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(S\otimes I_{\ell^2},\varphi(S))]_k\|,$$

for any commuting contractions T_1 and T_2 on Hilbert spaces, any $k\times k$ matrix $[p_{rs}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z,w]$, and any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Analogues of this result for the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{n_1,n_2}$ and for a class of noncommutative varieties are also considered.

Introduction

Two of the most important results in operator theory are von Neumann's inequality [28] and Andô's generalization [2] to two commuting contractions on a Hilbert space (see also [26], [5], [6], and [7]). Andô's inequality is essentially equivalent (see [11] and [12]) to the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting theorem [25] and states that if T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractions on a Hilbert space, then for any polynomial p in two variables,

$$\|p(T_1,T_2)\| \leq \|p\|_{\mathbb{D}^2},$$

where $\mathbb{D}^2$ is the bidisk in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Varopoulus [27] found a counterexample showing that the inequality does not extend to three mutually commuting contractions. For a nice survey and further generalizations of these inequalities we refer to Pisier's book [13].

Date: January 4, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A13; 47A20; Secondary: 47A63; 47A45; 46L07.

Key words and phrases. Andô's dilation; Andô's inequality; Commutant lifting; Fock space; Noncommutative biball; Noncommutative variety; Poisson transform; Schur representation.

Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1500922.

In a remarkable paper [1], Agler and McCarthy improved Andô's inequality in the case of contractive matrices with no eigenvalues of modulus 1. They showed that

$$\|p(T_1,T_2)\| \leq \|p\|_V,$$

where V is some distinguished variety in the bidisc $\mathbb{D}^2$ depending on T_1 and T_2. This result was extended by Das and Sarkar [7] to pure commuting contractions.

To write the present paper, we were inspired by the work of Agler-McCarthy [1] and Das-Sarkar [7] on distinguished varieties and by Ando's inequality for two commuting contractions. One of the main results of our paper improves the above-mentioned inequality for commuting contractive matrices T_1 and T_2 with spectrum in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. The idea is the following. Each matrix T_i lives in an operator-valued variety $\mathcal{V}_i$, which is uniquely determined by its minimal polynomial, and admits a universal model B_i. Using noncommutative Poisson transforms [18], we show that the pair (T_1,T_2) admits *analytic dilations* $(B_1\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_1(B_1))$ and $(\varphi_2(B_2), B_2\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})$ which encode the algebraic and geometric structure of (T_1,T_2). This leads to the inequality

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1,T_2)]_k\| \leq \min\left\{\|[p_{rs}(B_1\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_1(B_1))]_k\|, \|[p_{rs}(\varphi_2(B_2), B_2\otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})]_k\|\right\}$$

for any $k\times k$ matrix $[p_{rs}]_k$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z,w]$, which is sharper than Andô's inequality, Agler-McCarthy's inequality, and Das-Sarkar's extension (see the remarks and examples following Theorem 3.3). In fact, we obtain a more general result for arbitrary commuting contractive matrices (see Theorem 3.11). We also improve Andô's inequality for commuting contractions when at least one of them is of class $\mathcal{C}_0$ (see Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2).

On the other hand, we prove that there is a universal model $(S\otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S))$, where S is the unilateral shift on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi(S)$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})\otimes\ell^2$, such that

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1,T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(S\otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S))]_k\|,$$

for any commuting contractions T_1 and T_2 on Hilbert spaces, any $k\times k$ matrix $[p_{rs}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z,w]$ and any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. The closed non-self-adjoint algebra $\mathcal{A}_u(\mathbb{D}^2)$ generated by $S\otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S)$ and the identity can be seen as the universal operator algebra for two commuting contractions. All these results are presented in Section 3.

The main goal of our paper is to obtain Andô dilations and inequalities on noncommutative varieties in the more general setting of bi-balls. In this setting, it would be interesting to find good analogues for *distinguished varieties* in the sense of [1]. For now, this remains an open problem. To present our results we need some notation and definitions.

Throughout this paper, $B(\mathcal{H})$ stands for the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_2}$, where $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,\ldots\}$, the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})$ in $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_2}$ with the property that the entries of $\mathbf{X}:=(X_1,\ldots,X_{n_1})$ are commuting with the entries of $\mathbf{Y}:=(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{n_2})$. Let $\mathbf{n}:=(n_1,n_2)$ and define the open *bi-ball*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{H}):=[B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}]_1\times_c[B(\mathcal{H})^{n_2}]_1,$$

where

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1:=\{(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n:\ \|X_1X_1^*+\cdots+X_nX_n^*\|<1\},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

The closure of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{H})$ in the operator norm topology is denoted by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$. For simplicity, throughout this paper, $[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ denotes either the n-tuple $(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ or the operator row matrix $[X_1\cdots X_n]$ acting from $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$, the direct sum of n copies of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, to $\mathcal{H}$.

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1,\ldots,e_n$, where $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n):=\mathbb{C}1\oplus\bigoplus_{k\geq1}H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left (resp. right) *creation operators* S_i (resp. R_i), $i=1,\ldots,n$, acting on $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$S_i\varphi:=e_i\otimes\varphi,\quad \varphi\in F^2(H_n),$$

(resp. $R_i\varphi := \varphi\otimes e_i$). The universal models asociated with the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ are $\mathbf{S} := [S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ and $\mathbf{R} := [R_1, \ldots, R_n]$. The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$) is the norm closed non-self-adjoint algebra generated by the left (resp. right) creation operators and the identity. The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$) is the weakly closed version of $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$). These algebras were introduced in [15], [16] in connection with a noncommutative von Neumann type inequality.

Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $J \neq F_n^\infty$, and define the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ to be the set of all *pure row contractions* $\mathbf{T} := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, such that

$$f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad f \in J,$$

where $f(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is defined according to the F_n^∞-functional calculus (see [16]). We proved in [20] that there are some universal models $\mathbf{B} := [B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ and $\mathbf{W} := [W_1, \ldots, W_n]$ associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J$, which are compressions of the creation operators to a certain joint co-invariant subspace uniquely determined by J. The noncommutative Hardy algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ is the weakly closed non-self-adjoint algebra generated by $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ and the identity.

In Section 1, borrowing ideas from [1] and [7], we obtain dilation results (Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6), for the elements of noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_J$, which are simultaneous multi-variable generalizations of Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem for contractions [26], Andô's dilation theorem for commuting contractions [2], Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting theorem [26], and of Schur's representation for the unit ball of H^∞ [24], in the framework of noncommutative Poisson kernels associated with $\mathcal{V}_J$. As consequences, we provide a Schur type representation for the noncommutative Hardy algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ (see Theorem 1.9) and a new proof for the commutant lifting theorem for pure row contractions in noncommutative varieties (see [14], [20]).

In Section 2, using the results from Section 1, we prove that any pair $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)$ in the noncommutative variety

$$\mathbf{P}_{J_1,J_2,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n}^-(\mathcal{H}) : \mathbf{T}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_1}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbf{T}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_2}(\mathcal{H})\right\},$$

has analytic dilations

$$(\mathbf{B}_1 \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi_1(\mathbf{W}_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi_2(\mathbf{W}_2), \mathbf{B}_2 \otimes I_{\ell^2})$$

where $\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}_1)$ and $\varphi_2(\mathbf{W}_2)$ are some contractive multi-analytic operators with respect to the universal models $\mathbf{B}_1$ and $\mathbf{B}_2$ of the varieties $\mathcal{V}_{J_1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{J_2}$, respectively. As a consequence, we show that the inequality

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \min\left\{\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{B}_1 \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi_1(\mathbf{W}_1))]_k\|, \|[p_{rs}(\varphi_2(\mathbf{W}_2), \mathbf{B}_2 \otimes I_{\ell^2})]_k\|\right\} \qquad p_{rs} \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$$

holds for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$ is the complex algebra of all polynomials in noncommutative indeterminates $X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}$.

On the other hand, we prove that the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n}^-(\mathcal{H})$ has a universal model

$$(S_1 \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \ldots S_{n_1} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \psi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})),$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{R}) = (\psi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \psi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})$ is some contractive multi-analytic operator with respect to the universal model $\mathbf{S} = [S_1, \ldots, S_{n_1}]$, and $\mathbf{R} = [R_1, \ldots, R_{n_1}]$. More precisely, we show that

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|, \qquad p_{rs} \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle,$$

for any $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The closed non-self-adjoint algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n})$ generated by $S_1 \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \ldots, S_{n_1} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \psi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})$ and the identity can be seen as the universal operator algebra of the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n}^-$. A similar result is provided for a class of noncommutative varieties in $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{n}^-$.

We remark that when $J = \{0\}$, the variety $\mathcal{V}_{\{0\}}$ coincides with the set of all pure row contractions and the universal models are $\mathbf{S} = [S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ and $\mathbf{R} := [R_1, \ldots, R_n]$. On the other hand, in the particular case when J_c is the ideal generated by the polynomials $S_iS_j - S_jS_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, the universal model of $\mathcal{V}_{J_c}$ consists of the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space F_s^2. Arveson showed in [4] that F_s^2 can be identified with a subspace space $\mathbf{H}^2$ of analytic functions in $\mathbb{B}_n$, namely, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_n : \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_n(z, w) := \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, w\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}}, \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{J_c})$ can be identified with the algebra of all multipliers of $\mathbf{H}^2$. Under this identification the creation operators become the multiplication operators $M_{z_1},\dots,M_{z_n}$ by the coordinate functions $z_1,\dots,z_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. All the results of this paper, concerning Andô dilations and inequalities, apply to these two important particular cases.

Finally, we would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions on the paper.

1. Andô type dilations on noncommutative varieties

In this section, we obtain dilation results which simultaneously generalize Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem for contractions, Andô's dilation theorem for commuting contractions, Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting theorem, and Schur's representation for the unit ball of H^∞, in the framework of noncommutative varieties and Poisson kernels on Fock spaces.

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1,\dots,g_n$ and the identity g_0. The length of $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha|:=0$ if $\alpha=g_0$ and $|\alpha|:=k$ if $\alpha=g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1,\dots,i_k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. If $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we set $X_\alpha:=X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0}:=I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let H_n be a finite dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1,\dots,e_n$. We denote $e_\alpha:=e_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{i_k}$ and $e_{g_0}:=1$. Note that $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is an orthonormal basis for the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$.

We recall ([15], [16], [17]) a few facts concerning multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces. We say that a bounded linear operator M acting from $F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ to $F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}'$ is multi-analytic with respect to the universal model $\mathbf{S}:=[S_1,\dots,S_n]$ if

$$M(S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})=(S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}'})M\quad\text{for any}\ \ i=1,\dots,n.$$

We can associate with M a unique formal Fourier expansion $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}R_\alpha\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}$, where $\theta_{(\alpha)}\in B(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}')$. We know that $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\theta_{(\alpha)}^*\theta_{(\alpha)}\le\|M\|I_{\mathcal{K}}$ and

$$M=\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}r^{|\alpha|}R_\alpha\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)},$$

where, for each $r\in[0,1)$, the series converges in the uniform norm. Moreover, the set of all multi-analytic operators in $B(F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K},F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}')$ coincides with $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}')$, the WOT-closed operator space generated by the spatial tensor product. A multi-analytic operator is called *inner* if it is an isometry. We remark that similar results are valid for multi-analytic operators with respect to the right creation operators $R_1,\dots,R_n$.

We need to recall from [18] a few facts about noncommutative Poisson transforms associated with row contractions $\mathbf{T}:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $T_1T_1^*+\cdots+T_nT_n^*\le I$. For each $0<r\le1$, define the defect operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{T},r}:=(I_{\mathcal{K}}-r^2T_1T_1^*-\cdots-r^2T_nT_n^*)^{1/2}$ and the defect space $\mathcal{D}_{r\mathbf{T}}=\overline{\Delta_{\mathbf{T},r}\mathcal{H}}$. The *noncommutative Poisson kernel* associated with $\mathbf{T}$ is the family of operators

$$K_{\mathbf{T},r}:\mathcal{H}\to F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{D}_{r\mathbf{T}},\quad 0<r\le1,$$

defined by

$$K_{\mathbf{T},r}h:=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}e_\alpha\otimes r^{|\alpha|}\Delta_{\mathbf{T},r}T_\alpha^*h,\quad h\in\mathcal{H}.$$

When $r=1$, we denote $\Delta_{\mathbf{T}}:=\Delta_{\mathbf{T},1}$ and $K_{\mathbf{T}}:=K_{\mathbf{T},1}$. The operators $K_{\mathbf{T},r}$ are isometries if $0<r<1$, and, if $r=1$,

$$K_{\mathbf{T}}^*K_{\mathbf{T}}=I_{\mathcal{K}}-\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k}T_\alpha T_\alpha^*.$$

Thus $K_{\mathbf{T}}$ is an isometry if and only if $\mathbf{T}$ is a *pure row contraction*, i.e., SOT-$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k}T_\alpha T_\alpha^*=0$. A key property of the Poisson kernel is that

$$K_{T,r}(r^{|\alpha|}T_\alpha^*)=(S_\alpha^*\otimes I)K_{T,r}\qquad\text{for any } 0<r\le1,\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

We refer to [18] and [22] for more on noncommutative Poisson transforms on C^*-algebras generated by isometries.

Let $\mathbf{T}_1 = [T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{1,n_1}] \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}$ and $\mathbf{T}_1' = [T_{1,1}', \ldots, T_{1,n_1}'] \in B(\mathcal{H}')^{n_1}$ be row contractions. Let $\mathbf{T}_2 := [T_{2,1}, \ldots, T_{2,n_2}]$, with $T_{2,j} : \mathcal{H}' \to \mathcal{H}$, be a row contraction which intertwines $\mathbf{T}_1$ with $\mathbf{T}_1'$, i.e.

$$T_{2,j} T_{1,i}' = T_{1,i} T_{2,j}$$

for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_2\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_1')$ the set of all contractive intertwining operators $\mathbf{T}_2$ of $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_1'$. A straightforward calculation reveals that

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} h\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} T_{1,j}^* h\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'} T_{2,j}^* h\|^2 + \|\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} h\|^2$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If the defect spaces $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}$ are finite dimensional with dimensions $d_1 := \dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$,$d_1' := \dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$, $d_2 := \dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}$, and

$$d_1 + n_1 d_2 = n_2 d_1' + d_2,$$

then there are unitary extensions $U : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}$ of the isometry

$$U\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} T_{1,1}^* h, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} T_{1,n_1}^* h\right) := \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'} T_{2,1}^* h, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'} T_{2,n_2}^* h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} h\right), \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.1}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$ the set of all unitary extensions of the isometry given by relation (1.1).

In case the above-mentioned dimensional conditions are not satisfied, then let $\mathcal{K}$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and note that the operator defined by

$$U\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} T_{1,1}^* h, 0, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} T_{1,n_1}^* h, 0\right) := \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'} T_{2,1}^* h, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'} T_{2,n_2}^* h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2} h, 0\right) \tag{1.2}$$

is an isometry which can be extended to a unitary operator

$$U : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'} \oplus (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}).$$

In this case, we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ the set of all unitary extensions of the isometry defined by (1.2). Let $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ be the operator matrix representation of $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &A : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}, \\ &B : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}, \\ &C : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \text{and} \\ &D : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Given an operator $Z : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the ampliation

$$\operatorname{diag}_n(Z) := \begin{pmatrix} Z & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & Z \end{pmatrix} : \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n} \mathcal{N} \to \bigoplus_{s=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}.$$

We also use the operator column notation $\begin{bmatrix} X_{(\alpha)} \\ \vdots \\ |\alpha| = k \end{bmatrix}$, where the entries $X_{(\alpha)}$ are arranged in the lexicographic order of the free semigroup $\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$, that is $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{n_1}, g_1 g_1, \ldots, g_1 g_{n_1}, \ldots, g_{n_1} g_1, \ldots, g_{n_1} g_{n_1}, \ldots$ and so on.

In what follows, we need the following technical result.

Lemma 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\\ &\qquad=\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\cdots\right)\right)\begin{bmatrix}T_{1,\alpha}^*\\ \vdots\\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=p\end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}$ *appears* p *times on each side of the equality, and* $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}:=\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}\\ 0\end{bmatrix}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\oplus\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Let $D=[D_1,\dots,D_{n_1}]$ with $D_i\in B(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\oplus\mathcal{K})$, and $\mathbf{T}_1:=[T_{1,1},\dots,T_{1,n_1}]$. Note that

$$D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*=\sum_{i=1}^{n_1}D_i\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,i}^*$$

and

$$\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*=\begin{bmatrix}\sum_{i=1}^{n_1}D_i\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}(T_{1,1}T_{1,i})^*\\ \vdots\\ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1}D_i\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}(T_{1,n_1}T_{1,i})^*\end{bmatrix}.$$

An inductive argument shows that

$$\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*=\begin{bmatrix}\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=p-1}D_\alpha\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}(T_{1,g_1}T_{1,\alpha})^*\\ \vdots\\ \sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=p-1}D_\alpha\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}(T_{1,g_{n_1}}T_{1,\alpha})^*\end{bmatrix},$$

where $\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}$ appears p times. On the other hand, one can easily prove by induction that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\cdots\right)\right)\begin{bmatrix}T_{1,\alpha}^*\\ \vdots\\ |\alpha|=p\end{bmatrix}\\ &\qquad=\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left([D_\alpha\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=p-1]\right)\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}T_{1,g_1\alpha}^*\\ \vdots\\ |\alpha|=p-1\end{bmatrix}\\ \vdots\\ \begin{bmatrix}T_{1,g_{n_1}\alpha}^*\\ \vdots\\ |\alpha|=p-1\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 1.2. *Let* $\mathbf{T}_2\in\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_1')$ *and let* $U=\begin{bmatrix}A&B\\ C&D\end{bmatrix}$ *be the matrix representation of a unitary extension* $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ *(see relation* (1.3)*). If* $\mathbf{T}_1$ *is a pure row contraction, then*

$$\operatorname{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'})\mathbf{T}_2^*h=A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}h+B\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*h,$$

for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$*, where* $\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}$ *appears* $p+1$ *times in the general term of the series.*

Proof. Due to relation (1.2), we have

$$A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}h + B\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,1}^*h\\0\\\vdots\\\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,n_1}^*h\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,1}^*h\\\vdots\\\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,n_2}^*h\end{bmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}h + D\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,1}^*h\\0\\\vdots\\\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,n_1}^*h\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}h\\0\end{bmatrix} \tag{1.5}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2} := \begin{bmatrix}\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}\\0\end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}$, we can rewrite relations (1.4) and (1.5) as

$$A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} + B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2})\mathbf{T}_1^* = \mathrm{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'})\mathbf{T}_2^* \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} + D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2})\mathbf{T}_1^* = \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}, \tag{1.7}$$

respectively. Note that using relation (1.7) we deduce that

$$\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^* = \mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^* + \mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2})\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*, \tag{1.8}$$

which combined with relation (1.6) yields

$$\mathrm{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'})\mathbf{T}_2^* = A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} + B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^* + B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2})\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*.$$

Continuing to use relation (1.8) in the latter relation and the resulting ones, an induction argument leads to the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'})\mathbf{T}_2^* = {} & A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} + B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\\ & + B\sum_{p=1}^{m}\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\\ & + B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*,\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where diag_{n_1} appears $p+1$ times in the general term of the sum above and $m+2$ times in the last term. Since $\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}$ and D are contractions and using Lemma 1.1, one can easily see that

$$\left\|B\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*h\right\| \leq \|B\|\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=m+2}\|T_{1,\alpha}^*h\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathbf{T}_1$ is a pure row contraction, we have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=m+2}\|T_{1,\alpha}^*h\|^2 = 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, relation (1.9) implies

$$\mathrm{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'})\mathbf{T}_2^*h = A\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}h + B\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(\cdots D\mathrm{diag}_{n_1}\left(C\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\cdots\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*\right)\mathbf{T}_1^*h,$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, where diag_{n_1} appears $p+1$ times in the general term of the series. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 1.3. *If* $U=\begin{bmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{bmatrix}$ *is the matrix representation of a unitary extension* $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$*, then*

$$\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\cdots\left(\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}(C^*)\,D^*\right)\cdots D^*\right)=\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} C^*D_\gamma^*\\ \vdots\\ \gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\gamma|=q\end{bmatrix}\right),$$

where $\operatorname{diag}_{n_1}$ *appears* $q+1$ *times on the left-hand side of the equality.*

Proof. As in Lemma 1.1, an induction argument over q can be used to complete the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}'$, and $\mathcal{E}$ be Hilbert spaces and consider

$$U=\begin{bmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{bmatrix}:\begin{matrix}\mathcal{H}\\ \oplus\\ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1}\mathcal{E}\end{matrix}\to\begin{matrix}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{H}'\\ \oplus\\ \mathcal{E}\end{matrix}$$

to be any unitary operator. Set

$$D=[D_1,\dots,D_{n_1}]:\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1}\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}$$

and let $\mathbf{R}:=[R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}]$ be the tuple of right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1})$. We associate with U^* and any $r\in[0,1)$ the operator $\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R}):=I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes A^*+\left(I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes C^*\right)\left(I_{F^2(H_{n_1})\otimes\mathcal{E}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_1}rR_{1,j}\otimes D_j^*\right)^{-1}\\ \times\left[rR_{1,1}\otimes I_{\mathcal{E}},\dots,rR_{1,n_1}\otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}\right]\left(I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes B^*\right).$$

We will see the proof of the next lemma that the operator $\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$ is well-defined. In what follows we use the notations: $\mathbf{A}:=I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes A$, $\mathbf{B}:=I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes B$, $\mathbf{C}:=I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes C$, $\mathbf{D}:=I_{F^2(H_{n_1})}\otimes D$, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}:=[R_{1,1}\otimes I_{\mathcal{E}},\dots,R_{1,n_1}\otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}]$.

Lemma 1.4. *The strong operator topology limit*

$$\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}):=\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$$

exists and defines a contractive multi-analytic operator $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})=(\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$ *with* $\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})\in\mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty\bar{\otimes}B\left(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}\right)$*, where* $\mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty$ *is the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra generated by the right creation operators* $R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}$ *on the full Fock space* $F^2(H_{n_1})$ *and the identity.*

Moreover, $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})$ *is an isometry if and only if*

$$\lim_{r\to1}(1-r^2)\|(I-r\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{\Gamma})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*x\|^2=0,\qquad x\in F^2(H_{n_1})\otimes\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{H}'.$$

Proof. First, we remark that D is a contraction, $R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, and $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n_1}rR_{1,j}\otimes D_j^*\right\|\le r<1$. Consequently, the operator $I_{F^2(H_{n_1})\otimes\mathcal{E}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_1}rR_{1,j}\otimes D_j^*$ is invertible and $\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})=(\varphi_1(r\mathbf{R}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(r\mathbf{R}))$ with $\varphi_j(r\mathbf{R})\in\mathcal{R}_{n_1}\bar{\otimes}B\left(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R}_{n_1}$ is the noncommutative disc algebra generated by the right creation operators $R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}$ and the identity. Note also that

$$\varphi_j(r\mathbf{R})=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\alpha|=k}r^{|\alpha|}R_{1,\alpha}\otimes\Theta_{(\alpha)}^{(j)}\tag{1.10}$$

for some operators $\Theta_{(\alpha)}^{(j)}\in B(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H})$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

On the other hand, since $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{C}^* \\ \mathbf{B}^* & \mathbf{D}^* \end{bmatrix}$ is a unitary operator, standard calculations (see e.g. [20]) show that

$$I - \varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})^* = (1-r^2)\mathbf{C}^*(I - r\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{D}^*)^{-1}(I - r\mathbf{D}\mathbf{\Gamma}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{C}$$
$$I - \varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})^*\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R}) = (1-r^2)\mathbf{B}(I - r\mathbf{\Gamma}^*\mathbf{D})^{-1}(I - r\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{\Gamma})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*.$$

The first of the relations above shows that $\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$ is a contraction for any $r \in [0,1)$. Consequently, since $\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R}) = (\varphi_1(r\mathbf{R}), \dots, \varphi_{n_2}(r\mathbf{R}))$ and $\varphi_j(r\mathbf{R}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n_1}\bar{\otimes}B\left(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}\right)$ has the Fourier representation (1.10), one can see that $\varphi_j(\mathbf{R}) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\varphi_j(r\mathbf{R})$ exists, $\varphi_j(\mathbf{R}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty\bar{\otimes}B\left(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}\right)$, and $\|\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})\| \leq 1$.

Since $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$, the second of the relations above shows that $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry if and only if

$$\lim_{r\to 1}(1-r^2)\|(I - r\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{\Gamma})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*x\|^2 = 0, \qquad x \in F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{H}'. \tag{1.11}$$

The proof is complete. □

One of the main results of this section is the following intertwining dilation theorem for the elements of $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_1')$, the set of all intertwining row contractions of $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_1'$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathbf{T}_1 := (T_{1,1}, \dots, T_{1,n_1})$, $\mathbf{T}_1' := (T_{1,1}', \dots, T_{1,n_1}')$, and $\mathbf{T}_2 := (T_{2,1}, \dots, T_{2,n_2})$ be row contractions such that $\mathbf{T}_2 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_1')$, and let $\mathbf{S} := [S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{1,n_1}]$ and $\mathbf{R} := [R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,n_1}]$ be the creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1})$. If $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}), \dots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$ is the multi-analytic operator associated with $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ is a pure row contraction, then the following relations hold:*

$$K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^* = \varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1}, \qquad j \in \{1, \dots, n_2\}$$

and

$$K_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,i}^* = \left(S_{1,i}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\right)K_{\mathbf{T}_1}, \quad K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}(T_{1,i}')^* = \left(S_{1,i}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}}\right)K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}, \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, n_1\},$$

where $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ and $K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$ are the noncommutative Poisson kernels associated with $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_1'$, respectively.

Proof. Fix $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$. We use the notations: $\mathbf{A} := I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes A$, $\mathbf{B} := I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes B$, $\mathbf{C} := I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes C$, $\mathbf{Q} := \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} R_{1,j} \otimes D_j^*$, and $\mathbf{\Gamma} := \left[R_{1,1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\oplus\mathcal{K}}, \dots, R_{1,n_1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}\oplus\mathcal{K}}\right]$. We associate with U^* the multi-analytic operator $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty \otimes B\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}\right)$, as in Lemma 1.4, in the particular case when $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$, $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$, and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Note that, for each $y \in \oplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$ with $|\alpha| = n$, we have

$$\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})(e_\alpha \otimes y) = e_\alpha \otimes A^*y + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{Q}^q\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}^*(e_\alpha \otimes y)$$

and $\mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{Q}^q\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}^*(e_\alpha \otimes y)$ is in the closed linear span of all the vectors $e_{\alpha\sigma} \otimes z$, where $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\sigma| = q+1$ and $z \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$. Consequently, using the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1}h, e_\alpha \otimes y\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\beta|=k} e_\beta \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,\beta}^*h, \varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})(e_\alpha \otimes y)\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,\alpha}^*h, A^*y\right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\left\langle \sum_{|\sigma|=q+1} e_{\alpha\sigma} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,\sigma}^*T_{1,\alpha}^*h, \mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{Q}^q\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}^*(e_\alpha \otimes y)\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $y \in \oplus_{j=1}^{n_2}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$ with $|\alpha| = n$. If $\alpha = g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$, we denote by $\widetilde{\alpha} := g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}$ the reverse of α. Setting

$$D := [D_1, \dots, D_{n_1}] : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}$$

and

$$B := [B_1, \ldots, B_{n_1}] : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{Q}^q\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{B}^*(e_\alpha \otimes y) &= (I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes C^*) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\sigma|=q} R_{1,\sigma} \otimes D_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^* \right) \\
&\qquad \big[R_{1,1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}}, \ldots, R_{1,n_1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \oplus \mathcal{K}} \big] \begin{bmatrix} I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes B_1^* \\ \vdots \\ I_{F^2(H_{n_1})} \otimes B_{n_1}^* \end{bmatrix} (e_\alpha \otimes y) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\sigma|=q} R_{1,\sigma} R_{1,i} e_\alpha \otimes C^* D_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^* B_i^* y \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\sigma|=q} e_{\alpha g_i \widetilde{\sigma}} \otimes C^* D_{\widetilde{\sigma}}^* B_i^* y,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the reverse of $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$. Summing out our findings, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})^* K_{\mathbf{T}_1} h, e_\alpha \otimes y \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{|\sigma|=q+1} e_{\alpha\sigma} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\sigma}^* T_{1,\alpha}^* h, \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\gamma|=q} e_{\alpha g_i \widetilde{\gamma}} \otimes C^* D_{\widetilde{\gamma}}^* B_i^* y \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\gamma|=q} \left\langle e_{\alpha g_i \widetilde{\gamma}} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,g_i\widetilde{\gamma}}^* T_{1,\alpha}^* h, e_{\alpha g_i \widetilde{\gamma}} \otimes C^* D_{\widetilde{\gamma}}^* B_i^* y \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, |\gamma|=q} \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,g_i\gamma}^* T_{1,\alpha}^* h, C^* D_\gamma^* B_i^* y \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\gamma}^* T_{1,1}^* \\ \vdots \\ |\gamma| = q \end{bmatrix} T_{1,\alpha}^* h \\ \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\gamma}^* T_{1,n_1}^* \\ \vdots \\ |\gamma| = q \end{bmatrix} T_{1,\alpha}^* h \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C^* D_\gamma^* B_1^* y \\ \vdots \\ |\gamma| = q \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} C^* D_\gamma^* B_{n_1}^* y \\ \vdots \\ |\gamma| = q \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\omega}^* T_{1,\alpha}^* \\ \vdots \\ |\omega| = q+1 \end{bmatrix} h, \operatorname{diag}_{n_1} \left(\operatorname{diag}_{n_1} \cdots \left(\operatorname{diag}_{n_1} (C^*) D^* \right) \cdots D^* \right) B^* y \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1} T_{1,\alpha}^* h, A^* y \right\rangle + \left\langle B \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{diag}_{n_1} \left(D \operatorname{diag}_{n_1} \left(\cdots D \operatorname{diag}_{n_1} (C \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}) \mathbf{T}_1^* \cdots \right) \mathbf{T}_1^* \right) \mathbf{T}_1^* T_{1,\alpha}^* h, y \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The last two equalities are due to Lemma 1.3. Now, using Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\langle \varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})^* K_{\mathbf{T}_1} h, e_\alpha \otimes y \rangle = \left\langle \operatorname{diag}_{n_2}(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}) \mathbf{T}_2^* T_{1,\alpha}^* h, y \right\rangle$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, and $y \in \oplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$. Hence, using the definition of the noncommutative Poisson kernel and the fact that $\mathbf{T}_2 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_1')$, we deduce that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y_j \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$,

$j=1,\dots,n_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^*h, e_\alpha\otimes y_j\right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,|\beta|=k} e_\beta\otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}(T_{1,\beta}')^*T_{2,j}^*h, e_\alpha\otimes y_j\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}(T_{1,\alpha}')^*T_{2,j}^*h, y_j\right\rangle = \left\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^*T_{1,\alpha}^*h, y_j\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle \varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1}h, \begin{pmatrix}0\\ \vdots\\ e_\alpha\otimes y_j\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1}h, e_\alpha\otimes y_j\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^* = \varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad j=1,\dots,n_2.$$

The last two relations in the theorem follow easily using the definition of the noncommutative Poisson kernes associated with row contractions. The proof is complete. □

We remark that, in Theorem 1.5, if there is $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfying condition (1.11), then $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry. Here is an example when condition (1.11) is satisfied. Another class of examples will be considered later in Theorem 3.1.

Let $f=(f_1,\dots,f_{n_1})$ be a free holomorphic automorphism of the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}]_1$. Then $\mathbf{T}_1:=(f_1(\mathbf{S}),\dots,f_{n_1}(\mathbf{S}))$ is a pure row contraction and the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ is a unitary operator on $F^2(H_{n_1})$ (see [21]). Let $\mathbf{T}_2:=(\psi_1(\mathbf{R}),\dots\psi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$, with $\psi_j(\mathbf{R})\in B(F^2(H_{n_1}))$, be any multi-analytic operator which is an isometry. Applying Theorem 1.5, when $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}_1}^{\mathcal{K}}$, we find a multi-analytic operator $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})=(\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$ such that

$$K_{\mathbf{T}_1}\psi_j(\mathbf{R})^* = \varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\}$$

Since $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ is unitary, $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry and, consequently, condition (1.11) is satisfied.

It remains an open problem whether or not the set $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ always contains a unitary operator U such that $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry ?

In what follows we recall a few facts concerning constrained noncommutative Poisson kernels associated with noncommutative varieties in the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ (see [20]). Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $J\neq F_n^\infty$, and define the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ to be the set of all pure row contractions $\mathbf{T}:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, such that

$$f(T_1,\dots,T_n)=0\quad\text{ for any }\quad f\in J,$$

where $f(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ is defined using the F_n^∞-functional calculus for row contractions (see [16]). We proved in [20] that there is a universal model $\mathbf{B}:=[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ associated with $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$, such that $f(B_1,\dots,B_n)=0$ for any $f\in J$. More precisely, we showed that an n-tuple $\mathbf{T}\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ of operators is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is unitarily equivalent to the compression $[P_{\mathcal{N}}(B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{N}},\dots,P_{\mathcal{N}}(B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{N}}]$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is some Hilbert space and $\mathcal{N}$ is a co-invariant subspace of $B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}},\dots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $J\neq F_n^\infty$, and define the subspaces of the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_J:=\overline{JF^2(H_n)}\quad\text{ and }\quad\mathcal{N}_J:=F^2(H_n)\ominus\mathcal{M}_J.$$

We proved in [20] that $\mathcal{N}_J\neq\{0\}$ if and only if $J\neq F_n^\infty$. One can easily see that the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$ is invariant under $S_1^*,\dots,S_n^*$ and $R_1^*,\dots,R_n^*$. Define the *constrained left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* by setting

$$B_i:=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}S_i|\mathcal{N}_J\quad\text{ and }\quad W_i:=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}R_i|\mathcal{N}_J,\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

and call $\mathbf{B}:=[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ and $\mathbf{W}:=[W_1,\dots,W_n]$ universal models associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J$.

Let $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ be the w^*-closed algebra generated by $B_1,\dots,B_n$ and the identity. We proved in [3] that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ has the $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$ property and therefore the w^* and WOT topologies coincide on this algebra. Similar results hold for $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$, the w^*-closed algebra generated by $W_1,\dots,W_n$ and the identity. Moreover, we proved in [3] that

$$F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)'=\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)'=F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J),$$

where $'$ stands for the commutant. An operator $M\in B(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K},\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}')$ is called multi-analytic with respect to universal model $\mathbf{B}:=[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ if

$$M(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})=(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}'})M,\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

We recall from [19] that the set of all multi-analytic operators with respect to $\mathbf{B}$ coincides with

$$\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}')=P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}'}[\mathcal{R}_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}')]|_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}}.$$

Similar results hold for the algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$.

We remark that in the particular case when the ideal J is generated by the polynomials $S_iS_j-S_jS_i$, $i,j=1,\dots,n$, then we have $\mathcal{N}_J=F_s^2$, the symmetric Fock space, and $B_i:=P_{F_s^2}S_i|_{F_s^2}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, are the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space. Arveson showed in [4] that F_s^2 can be identified with his space H^2, of analytic functions in $\mathbb{B}_n$, namely, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_n:\mathbb{B}_n\times\mathbb{B}_n\to\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_n(z,w):=\frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}},\qquad z,w\in\mathbb{B}_n.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{W}_n^\infty:=P_{F_s^2}F_n^\infty|_{F_s^2}$, was proved to be the w^*-closed algebra generated by the operators B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, and the identity (see [3]). Moreover, Arveson showed in [4] that $\mathcal{W}_n^\infty$ can be identified with the algebra of all multipliers of H^2. Under this identification the creation operators $B_1,\dots,B_n$ become the multiplication operators $M_{z_1},\dots,M_{z_n}$ by the coordinate functions $z_1,\dots,z_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $J\neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $\mathbf{T}:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ be in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$. The *constrained Poisson kernel* $K_{J,\mathbf{T}}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is defined by

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}}:=(P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}})K_{\mathbf{T}}$$

is an isometry and satisfies the relation

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}}T_\alpha^*=(B_\alpha^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}})K_{J,\mathbf{T}},\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

The analogue of Theorem 1.5 on noncommutative varieties is the following. Recall that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the set of all unitary extensions of the isometry defined by relation (1.2).

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathbf{T}_1:=(T_{1,1},\dots,T_{1,n_1})$ and $\mathbf{T}_1':=(T_{1,1}',\dots,T_{1,n_1}')$ be elements of the noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H}')$, respectively, and let $\mathbf{T}_2:=(T_{2,1},\dots,T_{2,n_2})$ be a row contraction such that $\mathbf{T}_2\in\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_1')$. Let $\mathbf{B}:=[B_{1,1},\dots,B_{1,n_1}]$ and $\mathbf{W}:=[W_{1,1},\dots,W_{1,n_1}]$ be the universal models associated with the vatiety $\mathcal{V}_J$. If $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R})=(\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$ is the associated multi-analytic operator, then the following relations hold:*

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^*=\varphi_j(\mathbf{W})^*K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\}$$

and

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,i}^*=\left(B_{1,i}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\right)K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1},\quad K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1'}(T_{1,i}')^*=\left(B_{1,i}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}}\right)K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1'},\qquad i\in\{1,\dots,n_1\},$$

where $K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}$ and $K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1'}$ are the constrained Poisson kernels associated with $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_1'$, respectively.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{T}_1\in\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbf{T}_1'\in\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$, the noncommutative Poisson kernels $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ and $K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$ have ranges in $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}$, respectively. Due to Theorem 1.5, we have

$$K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^*=\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\},\tag{1.12}$$

where $\mathbf{R}:=[R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}]$ is the tuple of right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1})$. Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is co-invariant under $R_{1,1},\dots,R_{1,n_1}$, we have

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1})\subset\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'},\qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\},$$

and

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{W}) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})|_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}}, \qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\},$$

is a multi-analytic operator with respect to the universal model $\mathbf{B}$. Note that relation (1.12) implies

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}}K_{\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^* = P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}}\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})^*P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}K_{\mathbf{T}_1}, \qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\},$$

which proves that

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1'}T_{2,j}^* = \varphi_j(\mathbf{W})^*K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}, \qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\}.$$

Since the other relations are known, the proof is complete. □

As a consequence of Theorem 1.5, we can obtain a new proof for the commutant lifting theorem for pure row contractions ([23], [14]) as well as a new constructive method to obtain the lifting.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\mathbf{T}=(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and $\mathbf{T}'=(T_1',\dots,T_n')\in B(\mathcal{H}')^n$ be pure row contractions and let $A:\mathcal{H}'\to\mathcal{H}$ be an operator such that*

$$AT_i' = T_iA, \qquad i\in\{1,\dots,n\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{V}=(V_1,\dots,V_n)\in B(\mathcal{K})^n$ and $\mathbf{V}'=(V_1',\dots,V_n')\in B(\mathcal{K}')^n$ be the minimal isometric dilations of $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}'$ on some Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{K}\supset\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}'\supset\mathcal{H}'$, respectively. Then there is an operator $B:\mathcal{K}'\to\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$BV_i' = V_iB, \qquad i\in\{1,\dots,n\},$$

$B^*|_{\mathcal{H}}=A^*$, and $\|B\|=\|A\|$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{T}$ is a pure row contraction, the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{\mathbf{T}}$ is an isometry, and the minimal isometric dilation of $\mathbf{T}$ is $\mathbf{V}:=(S_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}},\dots S_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}})$, where $S_1,\dots,S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Under the identification of $\mathcal{H}$ with $K_{\mathbf{T}}\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$T_i^* = (S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}})|_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad \in\{1,\dots,n\}.$$

Similar assertions can be made about $\mathbf{T}'$ and its minimal isometric dilation $\mathbf{V}':=(S_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}'}},\dots S_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}'}})$.

By scaling, we can assume that $\|A\|=1$, without loss of generality. Since $A\in\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}',\mathbf{T})$, we can apply Theorem 1.5 in the particular case when $n_2=1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2:=A$. Consequently, there is a contractive multi-analytic operator $\varphi(\mathbf{R})\in\mathcal{R}_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'},\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1})$ such that $K_{\mathbf{T}'}A^*=\varphi(\mathbf{R})^*K_{\mathbf{T}}$. Under the identifications of $\mathcal{H}$ with $K_{\mathbf{T}}\mathcal{H}$ and $K_{\mathbf{T}'}\mathcal{H}$,respectively, we have $A^*=\varphi(\mathbf{R})^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $1=\|A^*\|\le\|\varphi(\mathbf{R})^*\|\le 1$, we deduce that $\|A\|=\|\varphi(\mathbf{R})\|$. Since $B:=\varphi(\mathbf{R})$ intertwines $S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}'}}$ with $S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}}}$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, the proof is complete. □

We remark that, as a consequence of Theorem 1.6, we can obtain the commutant lifting theorem for pure row contractions in noncommutative varieties ([20]).

Theorem 1.8. *Let $J\neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ and let $\mathbf{B}:=[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ and $\mathbf{W}:=[W_1,\dots,W_n]$ be the corresponding constrained shifts acting on $\mathcal{N}_J$. For each $j=1,2$, let $\mathcal{K}_j$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{E}_j\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ be a co-invariant subspace under each operator $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. If $X:\mathcal{E}_1\to\mathcal{E}_2$ is a bounded operator such that*

$$X[P_{\mathcal{E}_1}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}] = [P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})]|_{\mathcal{E}_2}X, \quad i=1,\dots,n, \tag{1.13}$$

then there exists

$$G(\mathbf{W})\in\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that

$$G(\mathbf{W})^*|_{\mathcal{E}_2}=X^* \quad \text{and} \quad \|G(\mathbf{W})\|=\|X\|.$$

Another consequence of Theorem 1.6 is the following Schur [24] type representation for the unit ball of $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ and let $\mathbf{W} := [W_1, \ldots, W_n]$ be the corresponding constrained right creation operators acting on $\mathcal{N}_J$. An operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}' \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H})$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and a unitary operator*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} : \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H}' \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{E} \end{matrix} \to \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E} \end{matrix}$$

such that $\Gamma = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{W})$, where

$$\varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{W}) := I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes E + (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes F) \left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n rW_i \otimes H_j \right)^{-1} \times [rW_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \ldots, rW_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H}] \, (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes G) \, .$$

and $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_n \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{E} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}' \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is a contractive multi-analytic operator with respect to the universal model $\mathbf{B} = [B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ of the variety $\mathcal{V}_J$, i.e. $\Gamma(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'}) = (B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\Gamma$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Due to the commutant lifting theorem for pure row contractions, Theorem 1.7, there exists a contractive multi-analytic operator $\Psi : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}' \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the universal model $\mathbf{S}$, i.e. $\Psi(S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'}) = (S_i \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\Psi$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, such that $\|\Gamma\| = \|\Psi\|$ and $\Psi^*|_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \Gamma^*$.

Set $\mathbf{T}_1 := (S_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \ldots S_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H})$, $\mathbf{T}_1' := (S_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'}, \ldots S_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})$, and $\mathbf{T}_2 := \Psi$. Since $\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}')$, Theorem 1.5 shows that there is a unitary operator

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} : \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{E} \end{matrix} \to \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \\ \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E} \end{matrix}$$

such that $\varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{R}) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{R})$ is a multi-analytic operator in $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1})$, where $\varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{R})$ is defined as in the theorem and such that $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}\Psi^* = \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{R})^* K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} = \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1'} = \mathcal{H}'$, and the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ is the identity on $F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $\Psi = \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{R})$. Since $\Psi^*|_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \Gamma^*$, we deduce that $\Gamma = \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W})$.

To prove the converse, note that, in the particular case when $n_2 = 1$ and $U^* = \Lambda$, Lemma 1.4 shows that $\Psi = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{R})$, where

$$\varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{R}) := I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes E + \big(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes F\big) \left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n rR_i \otimes H_j \right)^{-1} \times [rR_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \ldots, rR_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H}] \, \big(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes G\big) \, .$$

and $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_n \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{E} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}$, is a contractive multi-analytic operator with respect to $\mathbf{S}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under $R_1, \ldots, R_n$, we deduce that $\Gamma := \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W})$ is a contractive multi-analytic operator with respect to $\mathbf{B}$. The proof is complete. □

As a particular case of Theorem 1.9, we obtain the following representation theorem for the unit ball of the noncommutative Hardy algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ associated with the variety $\mathcal{V}_J$.

Corollary 1.10. *An operator $\Gamma \in B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ is in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a unitary operator*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} : \begin{matrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{H} \end{matrix} \to \begin{matrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H} \end{matrix}$$

such that $\Gamma = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W})$, *where*

$$\varphi_\Lambda(r\mathbf{W}) := I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes E + \left(I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes F\right)\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n rW_i\otimes H_j\right)^{-1} \times \left[rW_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\dots,rW_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left(I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes G\right).$$

and $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_n \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{H}\to\bigoplus_{i=1}^n\mathcal{H}$.

2. Andô type inequalities on noncommutative varieties

In this section, we obtain Andô type dilations and inequalities for the elements of the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-$ and a class of noncommutative varieties. Moreover, we prove that the bi-ball has a universal model consisting of the left creation operators and contractive multi-analytic operators. A similar result holds on noncommutative varieties.

One of the most important consequences of the results from Section 1 is the following Andô type dilation for noncommutative varieties in the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-$. We recall that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the set of all unitary extensions of the isometry defined by relation (1.2) (see also (1.3)). According to Lemma 1.4, for each $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$, the strong operator topology limit $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$ exists and defines a contractive multi-analytic operator.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{T}=(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_2)\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ *with* $\mathbf{T}_1=(T_{1,1},\dots,T_{1,n_1})$ *and* $\mathbf{T}_2:=(T_{2,1},\dots,T_{2,n_2})$. *If* $\mathbf{T}_1$ *is in the noncommutative variety* $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{W})=(\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{W}))$ *is the multi-analytic operator associated with* $U\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathcal{K}}$, *then*

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,\alpha}^*T_{2,\beta}^* = \left(B_{1,\alpha}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\right)\varphi_\beta(\mathbf{W})^*K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+,$$

where $\mathbf{B}:=[B_{1,1},\dots,B_{1,n_1}]$ *and* $\mathbf{W}:=[W_{1,1},\dots,W_{1,n_1}]$ *are the universal models of* $\mathcal{V}_J$ *and* $K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}$ *is the associated constrained Poisson kernel.*

Proof. In the particular case when $\mathbf{T}_1=\mathbf{T}_1'$, Theorem 1.6 shows that

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}T_{2,j}^* = \varphi_j(\mathbf{W})^*K_{J\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\}$$

and

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,i}^* = \left(B_{1,i}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\right)K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1},\qquad i\in\{1,\dots,n_1\}.$$

Hence, the relation in the theorem follows. □

We remark that Theorem 2.1 provides a model and a characterization of the elements $(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_2)\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathbf{T}_1\in\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{T}=(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_2)\in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1}\times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_2}$, then $\mathbf{T}\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathbf{T}_1\in\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}$, a contractive multi-analytic operator $(\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}),\dots,\varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{W})$ with respect to $\mathbf{B}$, $\varphi_j(\mathbf{W})\in B(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D})$, and a co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}\subset\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}$ under each of the operators $B_{1,i}\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\varphi_j(\mathbf{W})$, where $i\in\{1,\dots,n_1\}$ and $j\in\{1,\dots,n_2\}$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{H}$,

$$(B_{1,i}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_{1,i}^*,\quad\text{and}\quad \varphi_j(\mathbf{W})^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_{2,j}^*.$$

Note that the direct implication is due to Theorem 2.1 under the identification of $\mathcal{H}$ with $K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}\mathcal{H}$. The converse is obvious.

In what follows, we obtain Andô type inequalities for the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and the noncommutative variety

$$\mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_2)\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) : \mathbf{T}_1\in\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})\right\}.$$

First, we consider the case when $\mathbf{T}_1=(T_{1,1},\dots,T_{1,n_1})$ and $\mathbf{T}_2:=(T_{2,1},\dots,T_{2,n_2})$ are row contractions on a Hilbert space with the property that $\mathbf{T}=(\mathbf{T}_1,\mathbf{T}_2)\in\mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ with $d_i:=\dim\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_i}<\infty$ and $d_1+n_1d_2=$

$d_2+n_2d_1$. We recall that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the set of all unitary extensions $U : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2}$ of the isometry

$$U\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,1}^*h, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}T_{1,n_1}^*h\right) := \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{2,1}^*h, \ldots, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_1}T_{2,n_2}^*h, \Delta_{\mathbf{T}_2}h\right), \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.1}$$

Given $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{X} := \langle X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}\rangle$ and $\mathbf{Y} := \langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}\rangle$ be noncommutative indeterminates and assume that $X_iY_j = Y_jX_i$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_2\}$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$ the complex algebra of all polynomials in $X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}$. Note that when $n_1 = n_2 = 1$, then $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$ coincides with the algebra $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$ of complex polynomials in two variable.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{T}_1 = (T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{1,n_1})$ and $\mathbf{T}_2 := (T_{2,1}, \ldots, T_{2,n_2})$ be row contractions on a Hilbert space such that*

$$d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_i} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad d_1 + n_1d_2 = d_2 + n_2d_1 = m,$$

and let $\mathbf{B} := [B_{1,1}, \ldots, B_{1,n_1}]$ and $\mathbf{W} := [W_{1,1}, \ldots, W_{1,n_1}]$ be the universal models of the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_J$. If $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$, then

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}))]_k\|, \qquad [p_{rs}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle), k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{W}))$ is a contractive operator uniquely determined by Λ and each $\varphi_j(\mathbf{W})$ is a $d_1 \times d_1$-matrix with entries in the Hardy algebra $\mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)$.

Proof. Since $d_1 + n_1d_2 = d_2 + n_2d_1 = m$, the set $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$ of all unitary extensions of the isometry U defined by relation (2.1) is non-empty. Fix any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$ and apply Theorem 2.1 to $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$. Then the contractive multi-analytic operator $\varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{W}))$ with $\varphi_j(\mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{R}_{n_1}^\infty(\mathcal{V}_J)\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ has the property that

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}T_{1,\alpha}^*T_{2,\beta}^* = \left(B_{1,\alpha}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}\right)\varphi_\beta(\mathbf{W})^*K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+$. Consequently, if p is any polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$, we obtain

$$K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}p(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) = p(\mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}))K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}.$$

Since $\mathbf{T}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})$, the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}$ is an isometry, which implies

$$p(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) = K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}^*p(\mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}))K_{J,\mathbf{T}_1}.$$

Now, it is clear that

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_{k\times k}\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{W}))]_{k\times k}\|, \qquad [p_{rs}]_{k\times k} \in M_k(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle), k \in \mathbb{N},$$

The proof is complete. □

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}^*$ the set of all formal polynomials of the form $q(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \sum a_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\sigma}X_\alpha Y_\beta Y_\sigma^* X_\gamma^*$, with complex coefficients, where $\mathbf{X} := \langle X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}\rangle$ and $\mathbf{Y} := \langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}\rangle$. In what follows, we show that if we drop the conditions $d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_i} < \infty$ and $d_1 + n_1d_2 = d_2 + n_2d_1 = m$, in Theorem 2.2, we can obtain the following Andô type inequality.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{T}_1 = (T_{1,1}, \ldots, T_{1,n_1})$ and $\mathbf{T}_2 := (T_{2,1}, \ldots, T_{2,n_2})$ be row contractions with the property that $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$. If $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}^K$, then*

$$\|[q_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \|[q_{rs}(\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2)]_k\|, \qquad [p_{rs}]_{k\times k} \in M_k(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}^*),$$

where $\mathbf{V}_1 := \mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_2 := \varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{W})$ is the multi-analytic operator associated with U^*.

Proof. The proof uses Theorem 2.1 and is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. We shall omit it. □

Lemma 2.4. *For any polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$, define*

$$\|p\|_u := \sup \|p(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\|\cdot\|_u$ defines an algebra norm on $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle$.

Proof. The only non trivial fact is that $\|p\|_u = 0$ implies $p = 0$. Let $p = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m, |\beta|\leq m} a_{\alpha,\beta} X_\alpha Y_\beta$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+$, and assume that $\|p\|_u = 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $n_2 \leq n_1$ and $\mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+ \subset \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$. If $z_i, w_j \in \mathbb{D}$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_2\}$, then

$$(z_1 S_1, \ldots, z_{n_1} S_{n_1}, w_1 R_1, \ldots, w_{n_2} R_{n_2}) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(F^2(H_{n_1})),$$

where $S_1, \ldots, S_{n_1}$ and $R_1, \ldots, R_{n_2}$ are creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1})$. Fix $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+$ with $|\alpha_0| \leq m$ and $|\beta_0| \leq m$ and let $k := |\alpha_0| + |\beta_0|$. Since $\|p\|_u = 0$, we have $\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m, |\beta|\leq m} a_{\alpha,\beta} z_\alpha w_\beta S_\alpha R_\beta = 0$ for any $z_i, w_j \in \mathbb{D}$. Consequently,

$$\left\langle \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m, |\beta|\leq m} a_{\alpha,\beta} z_\alpha w_\beta S_\alpha R_\beta(1), e_{\alpha_0 \tilde{\beta}_0} \right\rangle = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the reverse of $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+$, which implies $\sum a_{\alpha,\beta} z_\alpha w_\beta = 0$, where the sum is taken over all $|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m$ such that $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = \alpha_0\tilde{\beta}_0$. Consequently, if we assume that $\alpha_0\tilde{\beta}_0 = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, the latter equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} a_{g_0, g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_1}} w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k} + a_{g_{i_1}, g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_2}} z_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_k} \\ + a_{g_{i_1} g_{i_2}, g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_3}} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} w_{i_3} \cdots w_{i_k} + \cdots + a_{g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}, g_0} z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_k} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for any $z_i, w_j \in \mathbb{D}$. Taking $z_{i_1} = 0$ in relation (2.2), we get $a_{g_0, g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_1}} = 0$. Dividing the resulting equality by $z_{i_1} \neq 0$ and taking $z_{i_2} = 0$, we obtain $a_{g_{i_1}, g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_2}} = 0$. Continuing this process, we deduce that $a_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{n_1}^+, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{n_2}^+$ with $|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m$ and such that $\alpha\tilde{\beta} = \alpha_0\tilde{\beta}_0$. In particular, we have $a_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} = 0$. This completes the proof. □

As in Lemma 2.4, if for $[p_{ij}] \in M_k(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle)$, we set

$$\|[p_{ij}]\|_{u,k} := \sup \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain a sequence of norms on the matrices over $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle$. We call $(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle, \|\cdot\|_{u,k})$ the universal operator algebra for the bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-$.

In what follows, we prove that the abstract bi-ball $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^- := \{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{H} \text{ is a Hilbert space }\}$, where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, has a universal model

$$(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(\mathbf{R})) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \ell^2),$$

where $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \ldots, S_{n_1})$ and $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \ldots, R_{n_1})$ are the left and right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1})$, respectively, and $\varphi(\mathbf{R}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})$ is a contractive multi-analytic operator with $\varphi_j(\mathbf{R}) \in B(F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \ell^2)$. The next result shows that $(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle, \|\cdot\|_{u,k})$ can be realized completely isometrically isomorphic as a concrete algebra of operators.

Theorem 2.5. *There is a contractive operator $\varphi(\mathbf{R}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$, where each $\varphi_j(\mathbf{R})$ is a multi-analytic operator acting on $F^2(H_n) \otimes \ell^2$, such that*

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|, \qquad p_{rs} \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle,$$

for any $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Given a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle$, we define $\|p\|_u := \sup \|p(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)\|$, where the supremum is taken over all pairs $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Using a standard argument, one can prove that the supremum is the same if we consider only infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces. Since $r\mathbf{T}$ is a pure row contraction for any $r \in [0, 1)$, it is clear that

$$\|p\|_u = \sup_{(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{T}_1 \text{ pure}} \|p(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)\|.$$

Fix $[p_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle)$ and choose a sequence $\left\{(\mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}, \mathbf{T}_2^{(m)})\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathcal{H}$ separable and $\mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}$ pure row contraction such that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \sup_m \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}, \mathbf{T}_2^{(m)})]_k\|. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Theorem 2.3, in the particular case when $J = \{0\}$, we find, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a contractive operator $\varphi^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}) := (\varphi_1^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}))$, where each $\varphi_j^{(m)}(\mathbf{R})$ is a multi-analytic operator acting on $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d(m)}$, where $d(m) := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}}$, such that

$$\|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}, \mathbf{T}_2^{(m)})]_k\| \leq \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d(m)}}, \varphi^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|.$$

Consequently, relation (2.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u &= \|[p_{ij}(\oplus_{m=1}^\infty \mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}, \oplus_{m=1}^\infty \mathbf{T}_2^{(m)})]_k\| \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}(\oplus_{m=1}^\infty (\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d(m)}}), \oplus_{m=1}^\infty \varphi^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| \leq \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u, \end{aligned}$$

where $\oplus_{m=1}^\infty \mathbf{T}_1^{(m)}$ denotes the row contraction $\Big[\oplus_{m=1}^\infty T_{1,1}^{(m)}, \ldots, \oplus_{m=1}^\infty T_{1,n_1}^{(m)}\Big]$. This shows that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{R}) = (\psi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \psi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})) := \oplus_{m=1}^\infty \varphi^{(m)}(\mathbf{R}))$ is a contractive operator and $\psi_j(\mathbf{R})$ is a multi-analytic operator on the Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \ell^2$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle$ be the set of all polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$, and let $[p_{ij}^{(1)}]_k, [p_{ij}^{(2)}]_k, \ldots$ be an enumeration of the set $\{[p_{ij}]_k : \ p_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle\}$. Due to relation (2.4), for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a contractive operator $\psi^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}) = (\psi_1^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \psi_{n_2}^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}))$, where $\psi^{(s)}(\mathbf{R})$ is a multi-analytic operator on $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \ell^2$, such that

$$\|[p_{ij}^{(s)}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}^{(s)}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi^{(s)}(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|, \qquad s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

Define the contractive multi-analytic operator $\Psi_k(\mathbf{R}) := \oplus_{s=1}^\infty \psi^{(s)}(\mathbf{R})$ and let us prove that

$$\|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[q_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Psi_k(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| \tag{2.6}$$

for any $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle)$. Note that relation (2.4) implies

$$\|[p_{ij}^{(s)}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}^{(s)}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Psi_k(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| \qquad \text{for any } s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.7}$$

Fix $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k$ such that

$$\Big\| [q_{ij}]_k - [p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k \Big\|_u < \epsilon. \tag{2.8}$$

Using relations (2.4), (2.8), and (2.7), we deduce that there is a contractive multi-analytic operator $\psi^{(q)}(\mathbf{R})$ on the Fock space $F^2(H_{n_1}) \otimes \ell^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u &= \|[q_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi^{(q)}(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi^{(q)}(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k\|_u + \epsilon \\ &= \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Psi_k(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \|[q_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Psi_k(\mathbf{R}))]_k\| + 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, which proves relation (2.6). Note that $\varphi(\mathbf{R}) := \oplus_{k=1}^\infty \Psi_k(\mathbf{R})$ is a contractive multi-analytic operator and

$$\|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[q_{ij}(\mathbf{S} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(\mathbf{R}))]_k\|$$

for any $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle)$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete.

□

The closed non-self-adjoint algebra generated by $S_1 \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \ldots, S_{n_1} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R})$ and the identity is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{P_n})$. In light of Theorem 2.5 , $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{P_n})$ can be seen as the universal operator algebra of the bi-ball. An important open question remains. Can one choose $(\varphi_1(\mathbf{R}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{R}))$ to be an isometry? In the next section, we will see that the answer is positive when $n_1 = n_2 = 1$.

We remark that the noncommutative variety

$$\mathbf{P}_{c,\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) := \big\{(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}^-(\mathcal{H}) : \mathbf{T}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{H})\big\}$$

also has a universal model. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.5, one can show that there is a contractive operator $\varphi(\mathbf{W}) = (\varphi_1(\mathbf{W}), \ldots, \varphi_{n_2}(\mathbf{W}))$, where each $\varphi_j(\mathbf{W})$ is a multi-analytic operator, with respect to $\mathbf{B}$, acting on $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell^2$, such that

$$\|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\mathbf{B} \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(\mathbf{W}))]_k\|, \qquad p_{rs} \in \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle,$$

for any $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in \mathbf{P}^-_{c,\mathbf{n}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Sharper Andô type inequalities on varieties, universal models

In this section, we provide sharper Andô type inequalities for commuting contractions when at least one of them is of class $\mathcal{C}_0$. In particular, for commuting contractive matrices with spectrum in the open unit disc, we obtain an inequality which is sharper then Agler-McCarthy's inequality and Das-Sarkar's extension. We obtain more general inequalities for arbitrary commuting contractive matrices. Finally, we provide a model for the universal operator algebra for two commuting contractions.

In the particular case when $n = 1$, the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ can be identified with the classical Hardy algebra H^∞. Every w^*-closed ideal $J \neq \{0\}$ of H^∞ has the form $J_\psi = \psi H^\infty$, where $\psi \in H^\infty$ is an inner function uniquely determined up to a constant of modulus 1 (see [9]). In this case

$$\mathcal{N}_{J_\psi} = H^2 \ominus \psi H^2 = \ker \psi(S)^*,$$

where S is the unilateral shift on the Hardy space H^2. An operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is in the variety $\mathcal{V}_{J_\psi}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if X is a completely non-unitary contraction such that $\psi(X) = 0$. The universal model of $\mathcal{V}_{J_\psi}(\mathcal{H})$ is the truncated shift $B := P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_\psi}} S|_{\mathcal{N}_{J_\psi}}$. When $J = \{0\}$, we take $\psi = 0$. In this case, we take $\mathcal{N}_{\{0\}} = H^2$ and define $\mathcal{V}_{\{0\}}(\mathcal{H})$ to be the set of all pure contraction $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $T^{*n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The universal model of $\mathcal{V}_{\{0\}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unilateral shift S.

Recall that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the set of all unitary extensions of the isometry given by relation (1.1). According to Lemma 1.4, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$, the strong operator topology limit $\varphi_{U^*}(\mathbf{R}) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \varphi_{U^*}(r\mathbf{R})$ exists and defines a contractive multi-analytic operator.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_{\psi_1}}$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_{\psi_2}}$ be commuting contractions with $d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}_{T_i} < \infty$. Then, $\varphi_{U_i^*}(S)$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$ for any unitary operators $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_2,T_1)}$, and*

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \min\left\{\|[p_{rs}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U_1^*}(B_1))]_k\|, \|[p_{rs}(\varphi_{U_2^*}(B_2), B_2 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})]_k\|\right\}$$

for any $[p_{rs}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w])$, where $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_{\psi_i}}} S|_{\mathcal{N}_{J_{\psi_i}}}$ is the universal model of the variety $\mathcal{V}_{J_{\psi_i}}$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.2, in the particular case when $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ and $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$, we find an analytic Toeplitz operator $\varphi_{U_1^*}(S)$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$ such that, for any $[p_{rs}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w])$,

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U_1^*}(B_1))]_k\|.$$

We prove that $\varphi_{U_1^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry for any $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$. Indeed, in this case we have

$$U_1^* = \begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} : \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}_{T_1} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{D}_{T_2} \end{matrix} \to \begin{matrix} \mathcal{D}_{T_1} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{D}_{T_2} \end{matrix}$$

Note that the condition (1.11) becomes

$$\lim_{r\to 1}(1 - r^2) \left\| (I - rS \otimes D^*)^{-1} (I \otimes B^*)x \right\|^2 = 0$$

for any $x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T_1}$. We shall check that this condition is satisfied. Since $D : \mathcal{D}_{T_2} \to \mathcal{D}_{T_2}$ is a contraction, there is an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D}_{T_2} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$, such that $\mathcal{H}_u$ and $\mathcal{H}_s$ are reducing subspaces for D with the property that $D_1 := D|_{\mathcal{H}_u} : \mathcal{H}_u \to \mathcal{H}_u$ is a unitary operator and $D_2 := D|_{\mathcal{H}_s} : \mathcal{H}_s \to \mathcal{H}_s$ is a completely non-unitary contraction. Note that since D_2 is acting on a finite dimensional space, its spectral radius is strictly less than 1. Indeed, assume that there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $v \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{H}_s$ such that $D_2 v = \lambda v$. Since D_2 is a contraction, we also have $D_2^* v = \bar{\lambda} v$, which shows that the

restriction of D_2 to the span of v is a unitary operator, contradicting that D_2 is completely non-unitary. On the other hand, since U_1 is a unitary operator, we have $CC^* + DD^* = I$ and $AC^* + BD^* = 0$. If $x \in \mathcal{H}_u$, then $\|Dx\| = \|x\|$ and, due to the first relation above, we must have $C^*x = 0$. Hence, and using the second relation, we deduce that $B(D^*x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}_u$, which shows that $\mathcal{H}_u \subset \ker B$. Now, since $\mathcal{D}_{T_2} = \text{range} B^* \oplus \ker B$, we have

$$\text{range}\, B^* = \ker B^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}_u^\perp = \mathcal{H}_s.$$

Consequently, for any $x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T_1}$, we have $y := (I \otimes B^*)x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_s$ and, therefore,

$$(I - rS \otimes D^*)^{-1} (I \otimes B^*)x = (I - rS \otimes D_2^*)^{-1} y.$$

Since $\|S \otimes D_2\| < 1$, we have

$$\lim_{r\to 1} (I - rS \otimes D_2^*)^{-1} y = (I - S \otimes D_2^*)^{-1} y,$$

and, consequently, relation (1.11) is satisfied, which proves that $\varphi_{U_1^*}(\mathbf{R})$ is an isometry for any $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{T}}$.

In a similar fashion, one can show that if $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_2,T_1)}$ then $\varphi_{U_2^*}(S)$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$ and

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(\varphi_{U_2^*}(B_2), B_2 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})]_k\|$$

for any $[p_{rs}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w])$. The proof is complete. □

We recall [26] that a contraction $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called of class $\mathcal{C}_0$ if T is c.n.u. and there exists an inner function $u \in H^\infty$ such that $u(T) = 0$. It is well-known that there is an essentially unique minimal inner function $m_T \in H^\infty$ such that $m_T(T) = 0$. It is clear that $T \in \mathcal{V}_{J_{m_T}}(\mathcal{H})$ and the associated model is

$$B = P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_{m_T}}} S|_{\mathcal{N}_{J_{m_T}}}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{J_{m_T}} = H^2 \ominus m_T H^2.$$

Remark 3.2. *Applying Theorem 3.1 when $T_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_{m_{T_1}}}(\mathcal{H})$ or $T_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{J_{m_{T_2}}}(\mathcal{H})$ are commuting $\mathcal{C}_0$-contractions with $d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}_{T_i} < \infty$, we obtain an improvement of Andô's inequality* [2]. *This is due to the fact that*

$$\|[p_{rs}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U_1^*}(B_1))]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U_1^*}(S))]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(U_1, U_2)]_k\|,$$

where B_1 is the universal model of the variety $\mathcal{V}_{J_{m_{T_1}}}$, and U_1 and U_2 are commuting unitaries extending the isometries $S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}$ and $\varphi_{U_1^*}(S)$, respectively.

Moreover, the inequality in Theorem 3.1 remains true if we drop the condition $d_i = \dim \mathcal{D}_{T_i} < \infty$, with the modification that $\varphi_{U_i^*}(S)$ is a contractive analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$. In particular (case $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0$), if T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractions such that T_1 is pure and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$, then $\varphi_{U^*}(S)$ is a contractive analytic operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$ and

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U_1^*}(S))]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(U_1, U_2)]_k\|,$$

where U_1 and U_2 are commuting unitary dilations for $S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}$ and $\varphi_{U_1^*}(S)$, respectively.

Let T be a contractive matrix and assume that it has no eigenvalues of modulus 1. This is equivalent to saying that the contractive matrix is completely non-unitary (c.n.c). Let $m_T(z) = (z-\lambda_1)^{n_1} \cdots (z-\lambda_k)^{n_k}$ be the minimal polynomial of T and let J_T be the w^*-closed two sided ideal of H^∞ generated by m_T. Then $J_T = b_T H^\infty$, where b_T is the Blaschke product defined by

$$b_T(z) := \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{z - \lambda_i}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_i z} \right)^{n_i}.$$

In this case, we have $\mathcal{N}_{J_T} = \mathcal{N}_T := H^2 \ominus b_T H^2$ and it is well known that

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \text{span}\, \{\ker(S^* - \bar{\lambda}_i)^{n_i} : i = 1, \dots k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{N}_T = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$$

(see e.g. [10]). Moreover, $\ker(S^* - \bar{\lambda}_i)^{n_i} = \text{span}\{v_j^i\}_{j=0}^{n_i-1}$, where $v_j^i(z) := \sum_{m=j}^\infty \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} \bar{\lambda}_i^{m-j} z^m$. We call the truncated shift $B := P_{\mathcal{N}_T} S|_{\mathcal{N}_T}$ the universal model associated with the c.n.c. contractive matrix T.

Theorem 3.3. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive matrices such that T_1 is completely non-unitary and let $d_1 := \dim(I - T_1T_1^*)^{1/2}\mathcal{H}$. For any unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$, $\varphi_{U^*}(S)$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$ and*

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U^*}(B_1))]_k\|, \qquad [p_{rs}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w]),$$

where $B_1 := P_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1}} S|_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1}}$ is the model operator associated with T_1.

Proof. Since T_1 is a completely non-unitary contraction on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, we can use the Jordan canonical form to show that T_1 is a pure contraction, i.e. $(T_1^*)^n \to 0$ stronly, as $n \to \infty$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the commuting contractive matrices T_1 and T_2, when $\psi_1 = m_{T_1}$, the minimal polynomial of T_1, and $\psi_2 = 0$, the result follows. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive matrices with spectrum in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with $d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}_{T_i}$. Then, for any polynomial p in two variables,*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \inf_{U,W} \left\{\|p(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_1}}, \varphi_{U^*}(B_1))\|, \|p(\varphi_{W^*}(B_2), B_2 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_2}})\|\right\},$$

where B_i is the universal model for T_i and the infimum is taken over all $U \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1,T_2)}$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_2,T_1)}$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.3 to the pairs (T_1, T_2) and (T_2, T_1) of commuting contractive matrices, the result follows. □

A few remarks are necessary. If T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractive matrices with no eigenvalues of modulus 1, Agler-McCarthy proved, in their remarkable paper [1], that the pair (T_1, T_2) has a co-isometric extension (M_z^*, M_Φ^*) on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ and, for any polynomial p in two variables,

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \|p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, M_\Phi)\| \leq \|p\|_V, \tag{3.1}$$

where V is a distinguished variety in $\mathbb{D}^2$ depending on T_1 and T_2, defined by

$$V := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2 : \det(\Phi(z) - wI_{\mathbb{C}^d})\}.$$

We remark that the inequalities provided in Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 are sharper than Andô's inequality and Agler-McCarthy's inequality, due to the fact that

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, M_\Phi)|_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}\| \leq \|p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, M_\Phi)\| \leq \|p(U_1, U_2)\|,$$

where U_1 and U_2 are commuting unitaries extending the isometries $M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}$ and M_Φ, respectively. We shall consider some examples.

Example 3.5. *Consider the polynomial*

$$p(z, w) = m_{T_1} w^m + m_{T_1}^2 w^k, \qquad m \leq k.$$

Since $B := P_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1}} S|_{\mathcal{N}_{T_1}}$ and $m_{T_1}(B) = 0$, Theorem 3.3 implies $\|p(B \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \varphi_{U^*}(B)))\| = 0$. On the other hand, $\|p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, M_\Phi)\| > 0$. Indeed, if we assume the contrary, then

$$(M_{b_{T_1}} \otimes I) M_\Phi^m [I + (M_{b_{T_1}} \otimes I) M_\Phi^{k-m}] = 0$$

Since $M_{b_{T_1}}$ and M_Φ are isometries, we must have $(M_{b_{T_1}}^* \otimes I) M_\Phi^{*\,k-m} = -I$. Since $M_{b_{T_1}}^*$ is not injective, we obtain a contradiction.

Example 3.6. *Let T_1 be a nilpotent contractive matrix such that $T_1^k = 0$ and $T_1^{k-1} \neq 0$, where $k \geq 2$. Given $a, b > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the polynomial in two variables*

$$p(z, w) = az + bz^k w^\ell.$$

If φ is any inner analytic function on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, then $(M_z^{k-1} \otimes I)\varphi(M_z)^{\ell-1}$ is a pure isometry and, consequently,

$$\|p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, M_\Phi)\| = \|aI + b(M_z^{k-1} \otimes I)\varphi(M_z)^{\ell-1}\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |a + bz| = a + b.$$

Agler-McCarthy inequality (3.1) *becomes*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq a + b \leq \|p\|_V.$$

On the other hand, since T_1 is in the variety $\mathcal{V}_k := \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : X^k = 0\}$ and the model operator for $\mathcal{V}_k$ is $B := P_{\mathcal{P}_{k-1}} S|_{\mathcal{P}_{k-1}}$, where S is the unilateral shift and $\mathcal{P}_{k-1} := \text{span}\,\{1, z, \dots, z^{k-1}\} \subset H^2$, Theorem 3.3 implies

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \|p(B \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \varphi(B))\| = \|aB\| = a.$$

Example 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}^k$, $k \geq 0$, be the set of all nilpotent contractive matrices of order k, and consider the polynomial*

$$p(z, w) = w + zw + z^2 w + \cdots + z^n w.$$

If $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $\varphi(M_z)$ is any inner analytic operator on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, then

$$\|p(M_z \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \varphi(M_z))\| = \|I + M_z + \cdots + M_z^n\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |1 + z + \cdots + z^n| = n + 1.$$

Therefore, if $T_1 \in \mathcal{C}^k$, then Agler-McCarthy inequality becomes

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq n + 1 \leq \|p\|_V.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(B \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \varphi(B))\| &= \|(I + B + \cdots + B^{k-1})\varphi(B)\| \\ &\leq \|I + B + \cdots + B^{k-1}\| \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

where $B := P_{\mathcal{P}_{k-1}} S|_{\mathcal{P}_{k-1}}$ is the model for the varierty $\mathcal{C}^k$. Theorem 3.3 implies

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \|p(B \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \varphi(B))\| \leq k.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive matrices such that $\sigma(T_1) \subset \mathbb{T}$ and T_2 is completely non-unitary. Then, for any polynomial p in two variables,*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} \|p(\lambda, B_{2,\lambda})\| \leq \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} \|p(\lambda, B_2)\|$$

where $B_{2,\lambda}$ is the universal model for $T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is the eigenspace corresponding to the unimodular eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)$.

Proof. Assume that T_1 and T_2 are acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\sigma(T_1) \subset \mathbb{T}$, one can easily see that T_1 is a unitary operator, Indeed, this is due to the well-known fact that a contraction T_1 and its adjoint T_1^* have the same invariant vectors, i.e. $T_1 h = h$ if and only if $T_1^* h = h$ (see [26]). For each $\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)$, let $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ be the corresponding eigenspace. Since T_2 commutes T_1, which is unitary operator, it commutes with each spectral projection $P_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$. Therefore, each eigenspace $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is reducing for T_2 as well. With respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} \mathcal{E}_\lambda$ we have $T_1 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} \lambda P_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$ and $T_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$. For any polynomial p in two variables, we have

$$p(T_1, T_2) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} p(\lambda I_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}, T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|p(T_1, T_2)\| = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)} \|p(\lambda I_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}, T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda})\|.$$

Since T_2 is c.n.u., so is each operator $T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$. If $B_{2,\lambda}$ is the universal model for $T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$, then, applying Theorem 3.3 to the pair $(T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda})$, we deduce that $\|p(\lambda I_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}, T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda})\| \leq \|p(\lambda, B_{2,\lambda})\|$, which proves the first inequality of the proposition. To prove the second one, note that the minimal polynomial of $T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$ divides the minimal polynomial of T_2 and, consequently, $B_{2,\lambda} = P_{\mathcal{N}} B_2|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{m_{T_2|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}}}$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive matrices with spectrum in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Then*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| = \max_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \Omega_{(T_1, T_2)}} |p(\lambda, \mu)|,$$

where

$$\Omega_{(T_1, T_2)} := \left\{(\lambda, \mu) \in \sigma(T_1) \times \sigma(T_2) : \ \mathcal{E}_\lambda^1 \cap \mathcal{E}_\mu^2 \neq \{0\}\right\},$$

and $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^1$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mu^2$ are the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \sigma(T_1)$ and $\mu \in \sigma(T_2)$, respectively.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.8, one can see that T_1 and T_2 are commuting unitary matrices. Using the spectral theorem, we obtain

$$p(T_1, T_2) = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Omega_{(T_1,T_2)}} p(\lambda, \mu) P_{\mathcal{E}^1_\lambda \cap \mathcal{E}^2_\mu}.$$

Since $\{P_{\mathcal{E}^1_\lambda \cap \mathcal{E}^2_\mu}\}_{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Omega_{(T_1,T_2)}}$ is a set of orthogonal projections, the result follows. □

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_u$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{cnc}$) the class of all unitary (resp. completely non-unitary) operators on a Hilbert space.

Lemma 3.10. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive operators on a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then there is an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{H}_i$ such that each subspace $\mathcal{H}_i$ is reducing for both T_1 and T_2 and, setting*

$$T_j^{(i)} := T_j|_{\mathcal{H}_i} : \mathcal{H}_i \to \mathcal{H}_i, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$

we have $T_j = \oplus_{i=1}^4 T_j^{(i)}$ with the properties that $T_1^{(i)} T_2^{(i)} = T_2^{(i)} T_1^{(i)}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$,

$$T_1^{(1)}, T_1^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}_u; \quad T_1^{(3)}, T_1^{(4)} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}$$

and

$$T_2^{(1)}, T_2^{(3)} \in \mathcal{C}_u; \quad T_2^{(2)}, T_2^{(4)} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}.$$

Proof. First, we decompose T_1 as a direct sum of its unitary part $A_1 := T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$ and the c.n.u. part $B_1 := T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{cnu}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{cnu}$,

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the decomposition is unique [26]. Since the spectrum of A_1 consists of eigenvalues of modulus one and T_1 commutes with T_2, we can deduce that $\mathcal{H}_u$ is a reducing subspace for T_2. Consequently, we have

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_2 := T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$ and $B_2 := T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{cnu}}$. Note that $A_1 A_2 = A_2 A_1$ and $B_1 B_2 = B_2 B_1$. The next step is to decompose the contractive matrix A_2 as a direct sum of its unitary part $T_2^{(1)} := A_2|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \in \mathcal{C}_u$ and c.n.u. part $T_2^{(2)} := A_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{cnu}} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_u = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ and

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} T_2^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & T_2^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A_1 A_2 = A_2 A_1$ and the spectrum of A_2 consists of eigenvalues of modulus one, as above, we deduce that $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are reducing subspaces for A_1 and, therefore,

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} T_1^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & T_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_1^{(1)} := A_1|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \in \mathcal{C}_u$ and $T_1^{(2)} := A_1|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \in \mathcal{C}_u$.

Since $B_2 := T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{cnu}}$ is a contraction on $\mathcal{H}_{cnu}$, we decompose it as well as a direct sum of its unitary part $T_2^{(3)} := B_2|_{\mathcal{H}_3} \in \mathcal{C}_u$ and c.n.u. part $T_2^{(4)} := B_2|_{\mathcal{H}_4} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{cnu} = \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_4$ and

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} T_2^{(3)} & 0 \\ 0 & T_2^{(4)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Once again, since the spectrum of $T_2^{(3)}$ consists of eigenvalues of modulus one and $B_1 B_2 = B_2 B_1$, we deduce that $\mathcal{H}_3$ and $\mathcal{H}_4$ are reducing subspaces for B_1 and, therefore,

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} T_1^{(3)} & 0 \\ 0 & T_1^{(4)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_1^{(3)} := B_1|_{\mathcal{H}_3} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}$ and $T_1^{(4)} := B_1|_{\mathcal{H}_4} \in \mathcal{C}_{cnu}$. Putting together the decompositions above, we obtain that $T_j = \oplus_{i=1}^4 T_j^{(i)}$, $j = 1, 2$, where each $T_j^{(i)}$ has the required property. The proof is complete. □

Using Lemma 3.10, Proposition 3.9, Proposition 3.8, and Corollary 3.4, we obtain the following inequality for commuting contractive matrices.

Theorem 3.11. *Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting contractive matrices and let $T_j = \oplus_{i=1}^4 T_j^{(i)}$, $j = 1, 2$, be the decomposition from Lemma 3.10. Then, for any polynomial p in two variables,*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \max_{i\in\{1,2,3,4\}} \|p(T_1^{(i)}, T_2^{(i)})\|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p(T_1^{(1)}, T_2^{(1)})\| &\leq \max_{(\lambda,\mu)\in\Omega_{(T_1^{(1)}, T_2^{(1)})}} |p(\lambda, \mu)|,\\
\|p(T_1^{(2)}, T_2^{(2)})\| &\leq \max_{\lambda\in\sigma(T_1^{(2)})} \|p(\lambda, B_{T_2^{(2)},\lambda})\|,\\
\|p(T_1^{(3)}, T_2^{(3)})\| &\leq \max_{\mu\in\sigma(T_2^{(3)})} \|p(B_{T_1^{(3)},\mu}, \mu)\|,\\
\|p(T_1^{(4)}, T_2^{(4)})\| &\leq \inf_{U,W} \left\{\|p(B_{T_1^{(4)}}, \varphi_{U^*}(B_{T_1^{(4)}}))\|, \|p(\varphi_{W^*}(B_{T_2^{(4)}}), B_{T_2^{(4)}})\|\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the set $\Omega_{(T_1^{(1)}, T_2^{(1)})}$ is defined as in Proposition 3.9, $B_{T_j^{(i)}}$ is the universal model for $T_j^{(i)}$, the operators $B_{T_2^{(2)},\lambda}$ and $B_{T_1^{(3)},\mu}$ are defined as in Proposition 3.8, and the infimum is taken over all unitary operators $U \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_1^{(4)}, T_2^{(4)})}$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}_{(T_2^{(4)}, T_1^{(4)})}$.

A weaker version of Theorem 3.11 is the following.

Corollary 3.12. *If T_1 and T_2 are commuting contractive matrices, then there exist $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and isometric analytic Toeplitz operators $\varphi_i(S)$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$ such that, for any polynomial p in two variables,*

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \max_{\lambda_i\in\sigma(T_i)\cap\mathbb{T}} \{|p(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|, \|p(\lambda_1, B_2)\|, \|p(B_1, \lambda_2)\|, \min\{\|p(B_1, \varphi_1(B_1))\|, \|p(B_2, \varphi_2(B_2))\|\}\},$$

where B_i is the universal model for the completely non-unitary part of T_i, under the convention that if $\sigma(T_1) \cap \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$ or $\sigma(T_2) \cap \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$, then the corresponding terms in the right-hand side of inequality are deleted.

Proof. First, note that $\sigma(T_1^{(1)} \oplus T_1^{(2)}) = \sigma(T_1) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(T_2^{(1)} \oplus T_2^{(3)}) = \sigma(T_2) \cap \mathbb{T}$. Hence,

$$\Omega_{(T_1^{(1)}, T_2^{(1)})} \subset [\sigma(T_1) \cap \mathbb{T}] \times [\sigma(T_2) \cap \mathbb{T}].$$

On the other hand, note that the minimal polynomial of $T_2^{(2)}|_{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}$ divides the minimal polynomial of $T_2^{(2)}$, which divides the minimal polynomial of $T_2^{(2)} \oplus T_2^{(4)}$. Consequently, the universal model $B_{T_2^{(2)},\lambda}$ has the property that $B^*_{T_2^{(2)},\lambda}$ is the restriction of B_2^* to the appropriate invariant subspace. Hence, we obtain

$$\max_{\lambda\in\sigma(T_1^{(2)})} \|p(\lambda, B_{T_2^{(2)},\lambda})\| \leq \max_{\lambda\in\sigma(T_1^{(2)})} \|p(\lambda, B_2)\|.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\|p(B_{T_1^{(3)},\mu}, \mu)\| \leq \max_{\lambda\in\sigma(T_1^{(2)})} \|p(\lambda, B_2)\|$$

and

$$\min\left\{\|p(B_{T_1^{(4)}}, \varphi_{U^*}(B_{T_1^{(4)}}))\|, \|p(\varphi_{W^*}(B_{T_2^{(4)}}), B_{T_2^{(4)}})\|\right\} \leq \min\{\|p(B_1, \varphi_1(B_1))\|, \|p(B_2, \varphi_2(B_2))\|\}$$

Now, we can use Theorem 3.11 to complete the proof. □

Denote by $\mathbf{D}^2(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all pairs (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and the the abstract bidisk

$$\mathbf{D}^2 := \{\mathbf{D}^2(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathcal{H} \text{ is a Hilbert space } \}.$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{D}^2$ if $\mathbf{T}$ is a pair (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_F^2$ the set of all pairs (T_1, T_2) of commuting contractions acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $k \times k$ matrix $[p_{ij}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$, we define

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u := \sup_{\mathbf{T}\in\mathbf{D}^2} \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T})]_k\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F := \sup_{\mathbf{T}\in\mathbf{D}_F^2} \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T})]_k\|.$$

The following lemma is a matrix extension of a result from [8].

Lemma 3.13. *For any $k \times k$ matrix $[p_{ij}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$,*

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F.$$

Proof. Let (T_1, T_2) be a pair of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we fix a polynomial

$$p_{ij}(z, w) = \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+, n_1+n_2\leq N} a^{ij}_{n_1,n_2} z^{n_1} w^{n_2}$$

in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$, and assume that $\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F \leq 1$. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{T})$ be the subspace of all analytic trigonometric polynomials of the form $g(e^{it}) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+, |k|\leq m} c_k e^{ikt}$ and let $S_{\mathcal{M}} := P_{\mathcal{M}} M_{e^{it}}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the compression of the unilateral shift to the subspace $\mathcal{M}$. Note that the operators $N_1 := S_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_1$ and $N_2 := S_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_2$ are commuting contractions acting on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Let $f(e^{it}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+, |k|\leq m} e^{ikt}$ and let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^k |\xi_i|^2 \leq 1$. Define the finite dimensional subspace

$$\mathcal{N} := \operatorname{span}\{N_1^{n_1} N_2^{n_2}(f \otimes \xi_j) : \ n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}\}.$$

Since $N_1|_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $N_2|_{\mathcal{N}}$ are communing contractions acting on the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{N}$ and $\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F \leq 1$, we have

$$\left\langle [p_{ij}(N_1, N_2)]_k \begin{bmatrix} f \otimes \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ f \otimes \xi_k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f \otimes \eta_1 \\ \vdots \\ f \otimes \eta_k \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \leq 1 \tag{3.2}$$

for any $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k |\eta_i|^2 \leq 1$. Setting

$$K_m(p_{ij})(z, w) := \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+, n_1+n_2\leq N} \left(1 - \frac{n_1 + n_2}{m + 1}\right) a^{ij}_{n_1,n_2} z^{n_1} w^{n_2},$$

straightforward calculations reveal that

$$\langle p_{ij}(N_1, N_2)(f \otimes \xi_j), f \otimes \xi_i\rangle = \langle K_m(p_{ij})(T_1, T_2)\xi_j, \eta_i\rangle$$

if $m > N$. Consequently, relation (3.2) implies

$$\left\langle [K_m(p_{ij})(T_1, T_2)]_k \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_k \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \leq 1,$$

for any $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k |\xi_i|^2 \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k |\eta_i|^2 \leq 1$, respectively. Hence, we deduce that $\|[K_m(p_{ij})]_k\|_u \leq 1$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\|K_m(p_{ij})(T_1, T_2) - p_{ij}(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+, n_1+n_2\leq N} \frac{n_1 + n_2}{m + 1} |a^{ij}_{n_1,n_2}|$$

for any $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathbf{D}^2(\mathcal{H})$. This shows that $\|K_m(p_{ij}) - p_{ij}\|_u \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and, consequently,

$$\|[K_m(p_{ij}) - p_{ij}]_k\|_u \leq \left(\sum_{i,j} \|K_m(p_{ij}) - p_{ij}\|_u^2 \right)^{1/2} \to 0, \qquad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Since,

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u \leq \|[p_{ij}]_k - [K_m(p_{ij})]_k\|_u + \|[K_m(p_{ij})]_k\|_u$$

and $\|[K_m(p_{ij})]_k\|_u \leq 1$ for any $m \to \infty$, we conclude that $\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u \leq 1$. This shows that $\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u \leq \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F$. Since the reverse inequality is clear, the proof is complete. □

A closer look at the proof of Lemma 3.13 reveals that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_N := \sup_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{D}_N^2} \|[p_{ij}(\mathbf{T})]_k\|,$$

where $\mathbf{D}_N^2$ stands for the set of all pairs (T_1, T_2) of nilpotent commuting contractions. We also remark that Lemma 3.13 can be easily extended, with the same proof, to the abstract polydisk $\mathbf{D}^n$.

Theorem 3.14. *There is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator $\varphi(S) \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2)$ such that*

$$\|[p_{rs}(T_1, T_2)]_k\| \leq \|[p_{rs}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S))]_k\|,$$

for any commuting contractions $T_1, T_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$, any $k \times k$ matrix $[p_{rs}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$, and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.13, $\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_F$ for any $k \times k$ matrix $[p_{ij}]_k$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z, w]$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \sup_{(T_1,T_2) \in \mathbf{D}_F^2, r \in [0,1)} \|[p_{ij}(rT_1, T_2)]_k\| = \sup_{(T_1,T_2) \in \mathbf{D}_F^2, T_1, T_2 \text{ pure}} \|[p_{ij}(T_1, T_2)]_k\|. \tag{3.3}$$

Fix $[p_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w])$. Due to relation (3.3), we can choose a sequence $\left\{ (T_1^{(m)}, T_2^{(m)}) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbf{D}_F^2$ with $T_1^{(m)}$ and $T_2^{(m)}$ pure contractions such that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \sup_m \|[p_{ij}(T_1^{(m)}, T_2^{(m)})]_k\| \tag{3.4}$$

Due to Theorem 3.1, when $\mathcal{V}_{J_\psi} = B(\mathcal{H})$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we find $d(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ and an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator $\varphi_m(S)$ on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d(m)}$ such that

$$\|[p_{ij}(T_1^{(m)}, T_2^{(m)})]_k\| \leq \|[p_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d(m)}}, \varphi_m(S))]_k\|.$$

Therefore, relation (3.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u &= \|[p_{ij}(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} T_1^{(m)}, \oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} T_2^{(m)})]_k\| \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}(S \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^{d(m)}}), \oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m(S))]_k\| \leq \|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\|[p_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi(S))]_k\|, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\psi(S) := \oplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m(S))$ is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}[z, w]$ be the set of all polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$. Since the set $\{[p_{ij}]_k : \ p_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}[z, w]\}$ is countable, let $[p_{ij}^{(1)}]_k, [p_{ij}^{(2)}]_k, \ldots$ be an enumeration of it. Due to relation (3.5), for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator $\psi_s(S)$ on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2$ such that

$$\|[p_{ij}^{(s)}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}^{(s)}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi_s(S))]_k\|, \qquad s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

Define the isometric analytic operator $\Gamma_k(S) := \oplus_{s=1}^{\infty} \psi_s(S)$ acting on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2$ and let us prove that

$$\|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[q_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Gamma_k(S))]_k\| \tag{3.7}$$

for any $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z, w])$. First, note that relation (3.6) implies

$$\|[p_{ij}^{(s)}]_k\|_u = \|[p_{ij}^{(s)}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Gamma_k(S))]_k\| \qquad \text{for any } s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.8}$$

Fix $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z,w])$ and $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k$ such that

$$\left\| [q_{ij}]_k - [p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k \right\|_u < \epsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

Due to relations (3.5), (3.9), and (3.8), we deduce that there exists an isometric analytic Toeplitz operator $\psi^{(q)}(S)$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u &= \|[q_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi^{(q)}(S))]_k\| \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \psi^{(q)}(S))]_k\| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}]_k\|_u + \epsilon \\ &= \|[p_{ij}^{(s_0)}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Gamma_k(S))]_k\| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \|[q_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \Gamma_k(S))]_k\| + 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, which proves relation (3.7). Now, it is easy to see that $\varphi(S) := \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(S)$ is an isometric analytic operator acting on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \ell^2$ such that

$$\|[q_{ij}]_k\|_u = \|[q_{ij}(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S))]_k\|$$

for any $[q_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathbb{C}[z,w])$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete. □

Note that since $S \otimes I_{\ell^2}$ and $\varphi(S)$ are commuting isometries, we can extend them to commuting unitaries, due to Itô's theorem [26], and using the spectral theorem we obtain

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \|p(S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S))\| = \|p\|_{\mathbb{D}^2}.$$

for any commuting contractions $T_1, T_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and any polynomial p in $\mathbb{C}[z,w]$, recovering in this way Andô's inequality. The closed non-self-adjoint algebra generated by $S \otimes I_{\ell^2}, \varphi(S)$ and the identity is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_u(\mathbb{D}^2)$. In light of Theorem 3.14, $\mathcal{A}_u(\mathbb{D}^2)$ can be seen as the universal operator algebra for two commuting contractions.

We remark that the operator $\varphi(S)$ is Theorem 3.14 is a direct sum of inner analytic operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, using Agler-McCarthy's inequality [1] we deduce that there is a sequence of distinguished varieties $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}^2$ such that

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \sup_k \|p\|_{V_k} = \|p\|_{\mathbb{D}^2}$$

for any commuting contractions T_1 and T_2, and any polynomial p in two variables.

References

[1] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy, Distinguished varieties, *Acta Math.* **194** (2005), no. 2, 133–153.

[2] T. Ando, On a pair of commutative contractions, *Acta Sci. Math.(Szeged)*, **24** (1963), 88–90.

[3] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[4] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159–228.

[5] B.J. Cole and J. Wermer, Pick interpolation, von Neumann inequalities, and hyperconvex sets, in *Complex potential theory* (Montreal, PQ, 1993), 89129, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **439**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[6] B.J. Cole and J. Wermer, Ando's theorem and sums of squares, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **48** (1999), no. 3, 767791.

[7] K. Das and J. Sarkar, Ando dilations, von Neumann inequality, and distinguished varieties, *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear.

[8] S.W. Drury, Remarks on von Neumann's inequality, in *Banach spaces, harmonic analysis, and probability theory* (Storrs, Conn., 1980/1981), 1432, Lecture Notes in Math., **995**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[9] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **236**, Springer, New York, 2007.

[10] N.K. Nikolski, *Treatise on the shift operator. Spectral function theory*, **273**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[11] N. Nikolski and V. Vasyunin, Elements of spectral theory in terms of the free function model. I. Basic constructions, in *Holomorphic spaces* (Berkeley, CA, 1995), 211302, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 33, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[12] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.

[13] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Second, expanded edition. Includes the solution to "The Halmos problem". Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. viii+198 pp.
[14] G. Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.
[15] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.
[16] G. Popescu, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.
[17] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.
[18] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.
[19] G. Popescu, Central intertwining lifting, suboptimization, and interpolation in several variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002), 132–154.
[20] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55** (2) (2006), 389–442.
[21] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **638** (2010), 119–168.
[22] G. Popescu, Berezin transforms on noncommutative polydomains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), 4357-4416.
[23] D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.
[24] I. Schur, Über Potenzreihen die im innern des Einheitshreises beschränkt sind, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **148** (1918), 122–145.
[25] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, Dilation des commutants d'opérateurs, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, série A* **266** (1968), 493–495.
[26] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, Second edition. Revised and enlarged edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2010. xiv+474 pp.
[27] N.Th. Varopoulos, On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operators theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **16**, (1974), 83100.
[28] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA
E-mail address: gelu.popescu@utsa.edu